



Whose side will win?



PRIORITY SEAT

張安軒、龔立孚、何知臨、王儷臻



Opening Statement



- Original idea: privilege for pregnant **women** , the elderly , people with disabilities or children
- People who are in need too but not in the four categories of "official priority seats users"
- Maybe they need it, you just don't know
- be judged/occupy the seat?
- Lead to misunderstandings and make our society more hostile



Point 1

People give their seat because they are feeling “pressured”

- It is not a **spontaneous** action
- For some people, they feel like it is something “**obligated**”
- Some people feel the need to **explain** why they **need** the seat

pregnant women (in the first couple of months), women who are on their periods, and people who are sick (not very obvious).

Rebuttal



As mentioned

- We don't need priority seat it is not mandatory itself
- Public opinion poll show that most people want to abolish the priority seat

—張安軒

Closing Statement



Priority seats need to be abolished

- If everybody has the empathy, there is no need to create the priority seats.
- The seats should be given to the one who really need it, not only the elderly.
- The origin of the priority seats is aim to give the privilege to some special groups. However, now, lots of people keep getting at those who maybe need the seats but young.

—王儷臻

